

# Graph of Linear Transformations over $\mathbb{R}$

Ayman Badawi and Yasmine El-Ashi

Department of Mathematics & Statistics, The American University of Sharjah, P.O.  
Box 26666, Sharjah, United Arab Emirates,  
abadawi@aus.edu, g00007313@alumni.aus.edu

**Abstract.** Let  $m, n \geq 1$  be positive integers,  $X$  and  $Y$  be finite dimensional vector spaces over  $\mathbb{R}$  (the set of all real numbers), where  $\dim_{\mathbb{R}}(X) = m$  and  $\dim_{\mathbb{R}}(Y) = n$ . In this paper, we introduce a new graph, denoted by  $G_{m,n}$ , with vertex set  $V = \{T : X \rightarrow Y \mid T \text{ is a nontrivial linear transformation}\}$ .

**Keywords:** zero-divisor graph, total graph, unitary graph, dot product graph, annihilator graph, linear transformations graph

## 1 Introduction

Throughout this paper,  $R$  denotes a commutative ring with  $1 \neq 0$  and  $Z(R)$  denotes the set of all zero-divisors of  $R$ . Let  $a \in Z(R)$  and let  $\text{ann}_R(a) = \{r \in R \mid ra = 0\}$ . In 2014, A. Badawi [26] introduced the annihilator graph of  $R$ . We recall from [26] that the annihilator graph of  $R$  is the (undirected) graph  $AG(R)$  with vertices  $Z(R)^* = Z(R) \setminus \{0\}$ , and two distinct vertices  $x$  and  $y$  are adjacent if and only if  $\text{ann}_R(xy) \neq \text{ann}_R(x) \cup \text{ann}_R(y)$ . See the survey article [23]. It follows that each edge (path) of the classical zero-divisor of  $R$  is an edge (path) of  $AG(R)$ . For further investigations of  $AG(R)$ , see [19], [50], and [56]. We remind the reader that the *zero-divisor graph* of  $R$  as in [17] is the (simple) graph  $\Gamma(R)$  with vertices  $Z(R) \setminus \{0\}$ , and distinct vertices  $x$  and  $y$  are adjacent if and only if  $xy = 0$ . This concept is due to Beck [28], who let all the elements of  $R$  be vertices. The zero-divisor graph of a ring  $R$  has been studied extensively by many authors, for example see ([2]-[9], [12], [21]-[22], [37]-[43], [46]-[53], [57]). David. F. Anderson and the first-named author [13] introduced the *total graph* of  $R$ , denoted by  $T(\Gamma(R))$ . We recall from [13] that the total graph of a commutative ring  $R$  is the (simple) graph  $\Gamma(R)$  with vertices  $R$ , and distinct vertices  $x$  and  $y$  are adjacent if and only if  $x + y \in Z(R)$ . The total graph (as in [13]) has been investigated in [8], [7], [6], [5], [45], [47], [51], [34] and [55]; and several variants of the total graph have been studied in [4], [14], [15], [16], [20], [27], [33], [30], [31], [32], [35], [36], and [44]. In 2015, A. Badawi, investigated the *total dot product graph* of  $R$  [25]. In this case  $R = A \times A \times \cdots \times A$  ( $n$  times), where  $A$  is a commutative ring with nonzero identity, and  $1 \leq n < \infty$  is an integer. The *total dot product graph* of  $R$  is the (undirected) graph denoted by  $TD(R)$ , with vertices  $R^* = R \setminus \{(0, 0, \dots, 0)\}$ . Two distinct vertices are adjacent if and only if  $x \cdot y = 0 \in A$ , where  $x \cdot y$  denote the normal dot product of  $x$

and  $y$ . The *zero-divisor dot product graph* of  $R$  is the induced subgraph  $ZD(R)$  of  $TD(R)$  with vertices  $Z(R)^* = Z(R) \setminus \{(0, 0, \dots, 0)\}$ . It follows that each edge (path) of the classical zero-divisor graph  $\Gamma(R)$  is an edge (path) of  $ZD(R)$ . In [25], both graphs  $TD(R)$  and  $ZD(R)$  are studied. The total dot product graph was recently further investigated in [1].

There has been considerable attention in the literature to graphs from rings and groups; see the survey articles [11], [10], [38] and [45]. For other types of graphs attached to groups and rings, for example see [6], [8],[27], [37], [39]–[43], and [44].

In this paper, we introduce a connection between graph theory and linear transformations of finite dimensional vector spaces over  $\mathbb{R}$  (the ring of all real numbers). Since every finite dimensional vector space over  $\mathbb{R}$  with dimension  $h$  is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{R}^h$ , let  $m, n \geq 1$  be positive integers and  $L = \{t : \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n \mid t \text{ is a nontrivial linear transformation from } \mathbb{R}^m \text{ into } \mathbb{R}^n\}$ . If  $g, v \in L$ , then we say that  $g$  is equivalent to  $v$ , and we write  $g \sim v$  if and only if  $\text{Ker}(g) = \text{Ker}(v)$ . Clearly,  $\sim$  is an equivalence relation on  $L$ . For each  $v \in L$ , the set  $[v] = \{w \in L \mid w \sim v\}$  is called the *equivalence class* of  $v$ . Let  $V_{m,n}$  be the set of all equivalence classes of  $\sim$ . For positive integers  $m, n \geq 1$ , let  $G_{m,n}$  be a simple undirected graph with vertex set  $V_{m,n}$  such that two distinct vertices  $[h], [w] \in V_{m,n}$  are adjacent if and only if  $\text{Ker}(h) \cap \text{Ker}(w) \neq \{(0, \dots, 0)\} \subset \mathbb{R}^m$ .

We recall the following definitions.

**Definition 1.** *Let  $G$  be a graph.*

1. *Two vertices  $v_1, v_2$  of  $G$  are said to be adjacent in  $G$  if  $v_1, v_2$  are connected by an edge of  $G$  and we write  $v_1 - v_2$ . For vertices  $x$  and  $y$  of  $G$ .*
2. *We define  $d(x, y)$  to be the length of a shortest path from  $x$  to  $y$  ( $d(x, x) = 0$  and  $d(x, y) = \infty$  if there is no path).*
3. *The diameter of  $G$  is  $\text{diam}(G) = \sup\{d(x, y) \mid x \text{ and } y \text{ are vertices of } G\}$ .*
4. *The girth of  $G$ , denoted by  $\text{gr}(G)$ , is the length of a shortest cycle in  $G$  ( $\text{gr}(G) = \infty$  if  $G$  contains no cycles).*
5.  *$G$  is connected if there is a path in  $G$  from  $u$  to  $v$  for every  $u, v \in V$ .*
6.  *$G$  is disconnected, if there exist at least two vertices  $u, v \in V$  that are not joined by a path.*
7.  *$G$  is totally disconnected if no two vertices of  $G$  are adjacent.*

Recall that a graph  $G$  is called complete if every two vertices of  $G$  are adjacent. We denote the complete graph on  $n$  vertices by  $K_n$ ,

## 2 Results

*Remark 1.* If a graph  $G$  has one vertex, then we say that  $G$  is totally disconnected. Note that some authors state that such graph is connected.

We have the following result.

**Theorem 1.** *The undirected graph  $G_{m,1}$  is totally disconnected if and only if  $m = 1$  or  $m = 2$ . Furthermore, if  $m = 1$ , then  $V_{1,1} = \{[t]\}$  for some  $t \in L$ .*

*Proof.* Assume  $m = 1$ . Let  $[t] \in V_{1,1}$ . Since  $t \in L$  (i.e.,  $t$  is a nontrivial linear transformation from  $\mathbb{R}$  into  $\mathbb{R}$ ), we conclude that  $\dim(\text{Range}(t)) = 1$ . Since  $\dim(\text{Ker}(t)) + \dim(\text{Range}(t)) = m = 1$  and  $\dim(\text{Range}(t)) = 1$ , we conclude that  $\text{Ker}(t) = \{0\}$ . Thus  $f \in [t]$  for every  $f \in L$ . Hence  $V_{1,1} = \{[t]\}$  for some  $t \in L$ . Thus  $G_{1,1}$  is totally disconnected by Remark 1.

Assume  $m = 2$ . Let  $[t], [f] \in V_{2,1}$  be two distinct vertices. Since  $t, f \in L$  (i.e.,  $t, f$  are nontrivial linear transformations from  $\mathbb{R}^2$  into  $\mathbb{R}$ ), we conclude that  $\dim(\text{Range}(t)) = \dim(\text{Range}(f)) = 1$ . Since  $\dim(\text{Ker}(t)) + \dim(\text{Range}(t)) = m = 2$  and  $\dim(\text{Range}(t)) = 1$ , we conclude that  $\dim(\text{Ker}(t)) = 1$ . Similarly,  $\dim(\text{Ker}(f)) = 1$ . Since  $t, f \in L$ , and  $\dim(\text{Ker}(t)) = \dim(\text{Ker}(f)) = 1$ , we conclude that  $\text{Ker}(t)$  and  $\text{Ker}(f)$  are distinct lines passing through the origin  $(0, 0)$ . Thus  $\text{Ker}(t) \cap \text{Ker}(f) = \{(0, 0)\}$ . Hence  $[t], [f]$  are nonadjacent. Thus  $G_{2,1}$  is totally disconnected.

Now assume  $m > 2$ . We show that  $G_{m,1}$  is connected. Let,  $[t], [w] \in V_{m,1}$  be two distinct vertices. We show that  $\ker(f) \cap \ker(k) \neq \{(0, \dots, 0)\}$  for some  $f \in [t]$  and  $k \in [w]$ . Let  $\mathbf{M}_f$  be the standard  $1 \times m$  matrix representation of  $f$  for some  $f \in [t] \in V_{m,1}$  and  $\mathbf{M}_k$  be the standard  $1 \times m$  matrix representation of  $k$  for some  $k \in [w] \in V_{m,1}$ . By hypothesis,  $\mathbf{M}_f$  is not row-equivalent to  $\mathbf{M}_k$ . Say,  $\mathbf{M}_f = [f_{11} \ f_{12} \ \dots \ f_{1m}]$  and  $\mathbf{M}_k = [k_{11} \ k_{12} \ \dots \ k_{1m}]$

Let,  $\mathbf{M}_{fk} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{M}_f \\ \mathbf{M}_k \end{bmatrix}$  and consider the system,  $\mathbf{M}_{fk}\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}$ , that is,

$$\begin{bmatrix} f_{11} & f_{12} & \dots & f_{1m} \\ k_{11} & k_{12} & \dots & k_{1m} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ \vdots \\ x_m \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

Since,  $m > 2$ , the number of equations  $<$  the number of unknown variables. Hence, the system  $\mathbf{M}_{fk}\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}$  has infinitely many solutions. Therefore,  $\ker(f) \cap \ker(k) \neq \mathbf{0}$ , that is, the vertices  $[t]$  and  $[w]$  are adjacent. Further, since  $[t], [w]$  were chosen randomly, we conclude that the graph  $G_{m,1}$  is complete for  $m > 2$ .

**Theorem 2.** For  $m = 1$  or  $m = 2$ , the undirected graph  $G_{2,n}$  is totally disconnected for every positive integer  $n \geq 1$ .

*Proof.* Assume  $m = 1$  and  $n \geq 1$  be a positive integer. Then by the proof of Theorem 1, we conclude that  $V_{1,n} = \{[t]\}$  for some  $t \in L$ . Hence  $V_{1,n}$  is totally disconnected by Remark 1.

Assume  $m = 2$ , and let  $[t], [w] \in V$  be two distinct vertices. We want to show  $\ker(f) \cap \ker(k) = \mathbf{0}$  for some  $f \in [t]$  and  $k \in [w]$ . We may assume that neither  $\text{Ker}(f) = \mathbf{0}$  nor  $\text{Ker}(k) = \mathbf{0}$ . Hence  $\dim(\text{Ker}(f)) = \dim(\text{Ker}(k)) = 1$ . Thus  $\text{Ker}(f) \cap \text{Ker}(k) = \{(0, 0)\}$ . Since  $[f], [k]$  were chosen randomly, we conclude that the graph  $G_{2,n}$  is totally disconnected for  $m = 2$ .

**Theorem 3.** The graph  $G_{m,n}$  is complete if and only if  $m \geq 2n + 1$ .

*Proof.* Let  $[t], [w] \in V$  such that  $\text{Ker}(f) \neq 0$  and  $\text{Ker}(k) \neq 0$  for some  $f \in [t]$  and  $k \in [w]$ . Let  $\mathbf{M}_f$  be the standard  $n \times m$  matrix representation of  $[f]$ ,  $\mathbf{M}_k$  be the standard  $n \times m$  matrix representation of  $[k]$ , and let  $\mathbf{M}_{fk} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{M}_f \\ \mathbf{M}_k \end{bmatrix}$

Assume,  $(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m) \in \mathbf{R}^m$  is a solution to  $\mathbf{M}_{fk}\mathbf{x} = 0$ , that is,

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{M}_f \\ \mathbf{M}_k \end{bmatrix}_{2n \times m} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ \vdots \\ x_m \end{bmatrix}_{m \times 1} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}_{2n \times 1}$$

Let  $r = \text{rank}(\mathbf{M}_{fk})$ .

Assume  $m \geq 2n + 1$ . We show  $\ker(f) \cap \ker(k) \neq 0$ . Since  $r \leq 2n$  and  $m \geq 2n + 1$ , we have number of equations  $<$  number of unknown variables. Hence, the system  $\mathbf{M}_{fk}\mathbf{x} = 0$  has infinitely many solutions, or  $\text{null}(\mathbf{M}_{fk}) \neq 0$ . Therefore,  $\ker(f) \cap \ker(k) \neq 0$ , that is the vertices  $[t]$  and  $[w]$  are adjacent. Since  $[t]$  and  $[w]$  are chosen randomly, we conclude that the graph  $G_{m,n}$  is complete for  $m \geq 2n + 1$ .

Conversaly, assume that  $G_{m,n}$  is complete. We show that  $m \geq 2n+1$ . Suppose that  $m < 2n + 1$ . We show that  $G_{m,n}$  is not complete. Let  $[t], [w] \in V$  such that  $\text{Ker}(f) \neq 0$  and  $\text{Ker}(k) \neq 0$  for some  $f \in [t]$  and  $k \in [w]$ .

**Case I:** Suppose  $r = m$ .

We conclude that  $\mathbf{M}_{fk}$  has  $m$  independent rows, say  $R_1, R_2, \dots, R_m$ .

Consider the system,

$$\begin{bmatrix} R_1 \\ R_2 \\ \vdots \\ R_m \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ \vdots \\ x_m \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

Since  $[R_1 R_2 \dots R_m]^T$  is an invertible  $m \times m$  matrix, we have

$\text{null}([R_1 R_2 \dots R_m]^T) = (0, 0, \dots, 0)$ . Thus  $\ker(t) \cap \ker(w) = 0$ . Hence the vertices  $[t]$  and  $[w]$  are nonadjacent

**Case II:** Suppose  $r < m$ . Thus we have the following system:

$$\begin{bmatrix} R_1 \\ R_2 \\ \vdots \\ R_r \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ \vdots \\ x_m \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

Since number of equations  $<$  number of unknown variables, we conclude that  $\text{null}([R_1 R_2 \dots R_r]^T) \neq (0, 0, \dots, 0)$ . This implies  $\ker(f) \cap \ker(k) \neq 0$ . Hence

the vertices  $[t]$  and  $[w]$  are adjacent.

Since the vertices  $[t]$  and  $[w]$  can either be adjacent or nonadjacent, we conclude that the graph  $G_{m,n}$  is not complete for every  $1 \leq m < 2n + 1$ .

**Theorem 4.** *Consider the undirected graph  $G_{m,n}$ . Assume  $m \leq n$  and  $m \neq 1$  or  $m \neq 2$ . Then  $G_{m,n}$  is connected and  $\text{diam}(G_{m,n}) = 2$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $[t], [w] \in V$  such that  $[t]$  and  $[w]$  are nonadjacent. Choose  $f \in [t]$  and  $k \in [w]$ . Then  $\text{rank}(M_f) \neq m$  and  $\text{rank}(M_k) \neq m$ , where  $M_f$  and  $M_k$  are the standard matrix representations of  $f$  and  $k$ , with size  $n \times m$ .

Assume  $\text{rank}(M_f) = m - i$ , where  $i \in \mathbf{N}$ ,  $i \neq 1$ , and  $\text{rank}(M_k) = m - j$ , where  $j \in \mathbf{N}$ ,  $j \neq 1$ . Then choose any non-zero row from  $M_f$  or  $M_k$ , say  $Y$ , to form the  $n \times m$  matrix  $M_d$ , where:

$$M_d = \begin{bmatrix} Y \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

is the standard matrix representation of some  $d \in [h] \in V_{m,n}$ , such that  $[t] - [h] - [w]$ .

Assume that  $\text{rank}(M_f) = m - 1$  and  $\text{rank}(M_k) = m - 1$ . Then  $M_f$  has  $m - 1$  independent rows,  $R_1, R_2, \dots, R_{m-1}$ . Since  $[t]$  and  $[w]$  are nonadjacent,  $M_k$  has one row say  $R$  such that,  $\{R_1, R_2, \dots, R_{m-1}, R\}$  is an independent set which forms a basis for  $\mathbf{R}^m$ . Let  $K \neq R$  be a non-zero row in  $M_k$ . Hence  $K \in \text{rowspace}(M_k)$ . Since  $K \in \mathbf{R}^m$ , we have:

$$K = c_1 R_1 + c_2 R_2 + \dots + c_{m-1} R_{m-1} + c_m R$$

Let  $Y = K - c_m R$ . Thus  $Y \in \text{rowspace}(M_k)$ , (since both  $K$  and  $c_m R$  are

$\in \text{rowspace}(M_k)$ ), and  $Y \in \text{rowspace}(M_f)$ . Let  $M_d = \begin{bmatrix} Y \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}_{n \times m}$ , be the stan-

dard matrix representation of some  $d \in [h] \in V_{m,n}$ . Since  $Y \in \text{rowspace}(M_f)$ ,  $Y$  becomes a zero row through row operations using the rows in  $M_f$ . Thus  $\text{null}(M_{fd}) \neq 0$ , since  $\text{rank}(M_{fd}) = m - 1$ . Hence  $\ker(f) \cap \ker(d) \neq 0$ . Hence  $[t], [h]$  are connected by an edge. Similarly, since  $Y \in \text{rowspace}(M_k)$ ,  $Y$  becomes a zero row through row operations using the rows in  $M_k$ . Thus  $\text{null}(M_{kd}) \neq 0$ , since  $\text{rank}(M_{kd}) = m - 1$ . Hence  $\ker(d) \cap \ker(k) \neq 0$ . Thus  $[h]$  and  $[w]$  are adjacent. Therefore, we have  $[t] - [h] - [w]$ .

*Example 1.* Suppose  $m = 3$  and  $n = 4$ . So we are considering the graph  $G([t] : \mathbf{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^4)$ , where  $m \leq n$ , and  $m \neq 1$  or  $m \neq 2$ , as given in Theorem 4. Let  $[T], [L] \in V$ , such

that  $[T]$  and  $[L]$  are not adjacent ( $\ker(T) \cap \ker(L) = 0_{m=3}$ ), and  $[T] \neq 0, [L] \neq 0$ . Let  $f \in [T]$ , and  $k \in [L]$ . Since  $[T]$  and  $[L]$  are non-trivial vertices, then  $\text{rank}(M_f) \neq m$  and  $\text{rank}(M_k) \neq m$ , where  $M_f$  and  $M_k$  are the standard matrix representations of  $f$  and  $k$ .

Suppose,

$$M_f = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}_{4 \times 3}, M_k = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}_{4 \times 3}$$

Let  $M_{fk} = \begin{bmatrix} M_f \\ M_k \end{bmatrix}_{8 \times 3}$

It can be easily seen that  $\text{rank}(M_{fk}) = 3$ , which implies that  $\text{null}(M_{fk}) = 0$ . Therefore,  $\ker(f) \cap \ker(k) = 0$ , that is the vertices  $[T]$  and  $[L]$  are not adjacent. We have:

$\text{rank}(M_f) = 2 = 3 - 1 = m - 1$ , and  $\text{rank}(M_k) = 2 = 3 - 1 = m - 1$ .

Then  $M_f$  has 2 independent rows  $R_1$  and  $R_2$ , such that  $R_1 = [1 \ 0 \ 0]$  and  $R_2 = [0 \ 1 \ 1]$ . The vertices  $[T]$  and  $[L]$  are not adjacent, thus  $M_k$  has one row  $R$ , such that  $\{R_1, R_2, R\}$  are independent and form a basis for  $\mathbf{R}^m$ , where  $m = 3$ . In this example,  $R = [0 \ 0 \ 1]$ . Let  $K \neq R$  be a non-zero row in  $M_k$ ,  $K = [1 \ 1 \ 0]$ .  $K \in \text{rowspan}(M_k)$  and since  $K \in \mathbf{R}^3$  it can be written as a linear combination of  $\{R_1, R_2, R\}$  as follows:

$$K = 1.R_1 + 1.R_2 - R = [1 \ 0 \ 0] + [0 \ 1 \ 1] - [0 \ 0 \ 1] = [1 \ 1 \ 0]$$

Let  $Y = K - (-1).R = K + R = [1 \ 1 \ 0] + [0 \ 0 \ 1] = [1 \ 1 \ 1]$ .

This implies  $Y \in \text{rowspan}(M_k)$  and  $Y \in \text{rowspan}(M_f)$ . Let  $M_d = \begin{bmatrix} Y \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}_{4 \times 3} =$

$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}_{4 \times 3}$ , be the standard matrix representation of some  $d \in [W]$ .

Since  $Y \in \text{rowspan}(M_f)$ ,  $Y$  becomes a zero row through row operations using the rows in  $M_f$ . Thus  $\text{null}(M_{fd}) \neq 0$  since  $\text{rank}(M_{fd}) = 2$ . Hence  $\ker(T) \cap \ker(W) \neq 0$ . Hence  $[T], [W]$  are adjacent. Similarly, since  $Y \in \text{rowspan}(M_k)$ ,  $Y$  becomes a zero row through row operations using the rows in  $M_k$ . Hence  $\text{null}(M_{kd}) \neq 0$  since  $\text{rank}(M_{kd}) = 2$ . Thus  $\ker(L) \cap \ker(W) \neq 0$ . Thus  $[W], [L]$  are adjacent. Therefore, we have  $[T] - [W] - [L]$ .

**Theorem 5.** Consider the undirected graph  $G_{m,n}$ . Assume that  $n < m \leq 2n$  and  $m \neq 1$  or  $m \neq 2$ . Then  $G_{m,n}$  is connected and  $\text{diam}(G_{m,n}) = 2$ .

*Proof.* Let  $[T], [L] \in V$ , such that  $[T]$  and  $[L]$  are not adjacent ( $\ker(T) \cap \ker(L) = 0_m$ ), and  $[T] \neq 0, [L] \neq 0$ . Let,  $f \in [T]$  and  $k \in [L]$ , then  $\text{rank}(M_f) < m$  and

$\text{rank}(M_k) < m$ , where  $M_f$  and  $M_k$  are the standard matrix representations of  $f$  and  $k$ , with size  $n \times m$ .

Assume that  $n + 1 < m \leq 2n$ . Then  $\text{rank}(M_f) = n - i$ , where  $i = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ , and  $\text{rank}(M_k) = n - j$ , where  $j = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ . Thus we can choose any non-zero row from  $M_f$  or  $M_k$ , say  $Y$ , to form the  $n \times m$  matrix  $M_d$ , where:

$$M_d = \begin{bmatrix} Y \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

is the standard matrix representation of some  $d \in [W]$ , such that  $[T] - [W] - [L]$ .

Assume that  $m = n + 1$ . Then we have three cases. **Case I.** Assume that  $\text{rank}(M_f) = n = m - 1$ , and  $\text{rank}(M_k) = n - j$ , where  $j = 1, 2, \dots$ . Then we can choose any non-zero row, say  $Y$  from  $M_f$ , (Note that  $M_f$  is the matrix with the higher rank), to form the  $n \times m$  matrix  $M_d$ , where:

$$M_d = \begin{bmatrix} Y \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

is the standard matrix representation of some  $d \in [W]$ , such that  $[T] - [W] - [L]$ .

**Case II.** Assume that  $\text{rank}(M_f) = n - i$ , where  $i = 1, 2, \dots$  and  $\text{rank}(M_k) = n - j$ , where  $j = 1, 2, \dots$ . In this case any non-zero row  $Y$  can be chosen either from  $M_f$  or  $M_k$ , to form  $M_d$ , where:

$$M_d = \begin{bmatrix} Y \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

is the standard matrix representation of some  $d \in [W]$ , such that  $[T] - [W] - [L]$ .

**Case III.** Assume that  $\text{rank}(M_f) = n$  and  $\text{rank}(M_k) = n$ . Then  $M_f$  has  $n$  independent rows  $R_1, R_2, \dots, R_n$ . Since  $[T]$  and  $[L]$  are not adjacent,  $M_k$  has one row say  $R$  such that,  $\{R_1, R_2, \dots, R_{m-1}, R\}$  is an independent set which forms a basis for  $\mathbf{R}^m = \mathbf{R}^{n+1}$ . Let  $K \neq R$  be a non-zero row in  $M_k$ . Hence  $K \in \text{rowspace}(M_k)$ . Since  $K \in \mathbf{R}^{n+1}$ , we have:

$$K = c_1 R_1 + c_2 R_2 + \dots + c_n R_n + c_{n+1} R$$

Let  $Y = K - c_{n+1} R$ . Hence  $Y \in \text{rowspace}(M_k)$ , (since both  $K, c_{n+1} R \in$

$\text{rowspace}(M_k)$ ), and  $Y \in \text{rowspace}(M_f)$ . Let  $M_d = \begin{bmatrix} Y \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}_{n \times m}$ , be the stan-

standard matrix representation of some  $d \in [W]$ .

Since  $Y \in \text{rowspan}(M_f)$ ,  $Y$  becomes a zero row through row operations using the rows in  $M_f$ ,  $\text{null}(M_{fd}) \neq 0$  since  $\text{rank}(M_{fd}) = n$ . Hence  $\ker(T) \cap \ker(W) \neq 0$ . Thus  $[T], [W]$  are adjacent. Similarly, since  $Y \in \text{rowspan}(M_k)$ ,  $Y$  becomes a zero row through row operations using the rows in  $M_k$ . Hence  $\text{null}(M_{kd}) \neq 0$  since  $\text{rank}(M_{kd}) = n$ . Thus  $\ker(L) \cap \ker(W) \neq 0$ . Thus  $[W], [L]$  are adjacent. Therefore, we have  $[T] - [W] - [L]$ .

*Example 2.* Suppose  $m = 4$  and  $n = 3$  and consider the graph  $G_{4,3}$ . Note that  $n < m \leq 2n$ ,  $m \neq 1, 2$  and  $m = n + 1$ . Thus  $m, n$  satisfy the given hypothesis in Theorem 5. Let  $[T], [L] \in V$ , such that  $[T]$  and  $[L]$  are not adjacent. Let  $f \in [T]$ , and  $k \in [L]$ . Then  $\text{rank}(M_f) < m$  and  $\text{rank}(M_k) < m$ , where  $M_f$  and  $M_k$  are the standard matrix representations of  $f$  and  $k$ , with size  $n \times m = 3 \times 4$ . Suppose,

$$M_f = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}_{3 \times 4}, M_k = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}_{3 \times 4}$$

Let  $M_{fk} = \begin{bmatrix} M_f \\ M_k \end{bmatrix}_{6 \times 4}$ . It can be easily seen that  $\text{rank}(M_{fk}) = 4$ , which implies that  $\text{null}(M_{fk}) = 0$ . Therefore,  $\ker(f) \cap \ker(k) = 0$ , that is, the vertices  $[T]$  and  $[L]$  are not adjacent. Hence  $\text{rank}(M_f) = 3 = n$ , and  $\text{rank}(M_k) = 3 = n$ . Then  $M_f$  has 3 independent rows  $R_1, R_2$ , and  $R_3$ , such that  $R_1 = [1 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0]$ ,  $R_2 = [0 \ 1 \ 0 \ 1]$ , and  $R_3 = [0 \ 0 \ 1 \ 0]$ . The vertices  $[T]$  and  $[L]$  are not adjacent, thus  $M_k$  has one row,  $R = [0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 1]$ , such that  $\{R_1, R_2, R_3, R\}$  is an independent set which forms a basis for  $\mathbf{R}^4$ . Let  $K \neq R$  be a non-zero row in  $M_k$ ,  $K = [0 \ 1 \ 0 \ 0]$ . Since  $K \in \text{rowspan}(M_k)$  and  $K \in \mathbf{R}^4$ , it can be written as a linear combination of  $\{R_1, R_2, R_3, R\}$  as follows:

$$K = 0.R_1 + 1.R_2 + 0.R_3 + (-1).R = [0 \ 1 \ 0 \ 1] - [0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 1] = [0 \ 1 \ 0 \ 0]$$

$$\text{Let, } Y = K - (-1).R = K + R = [0 \ 1 \ 0 \ 0] + [0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 1] = [0 \ 1 \ 0 \ 1].$$

This implies  $Y \in \text{rowspan}(M_k)$  and  $Y \in \text{rowspan}(M_f)$ . Let,  $M_d = \begin{bmatrix} Y \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}_{3 \times 4} =$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}_{3 \times 4}, \text{ be the standard matrix representation of some } d \in [W].$$

Since  $Y \in \text{rowspan}(M_f)$ ,  $Y$  becomes a zero row through row operations using the rows in  $M_f$ . Thus  $\text{null}(M_{fd}) \neq 0$ , since  $\text{rank}(M_{fd}) = 3$ . Hence  $\ker(T) \cap \ker(W) \neq 0$ . Thus  $[T], [W]$  are adjacent. Similarly, since  $Y \in \text{rowspan}(M_k)$ ,  $Y$  becomes a zero row through row operations using the rows in  $M_k$ . Thus  $\text{null}(M_{kd}) \neq 0$  since  $\text{rank}(M_{kd}) = 3$ . Hence  $\ker(L) \cap \ker(W) \neq 0$ . Thus  $[W], [L]$  are adjacent. Therefore, we have  $[T] - [W] - [L]$ .

**Theorem 6.** Assume that  $G_{m,n}$  is connected. Then  $gr(G_{m,n}) = 3$ .



*Proof.*  $[T], [L] \in V$ , such that  $[T]$  and  $[L]$  are adjacent,  $\ker(T) \cap \ker(L) \neq 0$  and  $[T] \neq 0, [L] \neq 0$ . Let,  $f \in [T]$  and  $k \in [L]$ , then  $M_f$  and  $M_k$  are the standard matrix representations of  $f$  and  $k$  with size  $n \times m$ . Suppose, that each matrix  $M_f$  and  $M_k$ , is composed of only one row,  $R_f$  and  $R_k$  that are independent of each other since  $f$  and  $k$  are in different equivalence classes  $[T]$  and  $[L]$ .  $M_f$  and  $M_k$  can be written as follows:

$$M_f = \begin{bmatrix} R_f \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}_{n \times m}, M_k = \begin{bmatrix} R_k \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}_{n \times m}$$

Let  $Y = R_f + R_k$ . Since  $Y$  is a linear combination of two linearly independent rows, then the set  $\{Y, R_f, R_k\}$  is also linearly independent.

Let  $M_d = \begin{bmatrix} Y \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}_{n \times m}$ , be the standard matrix representation of some non-trivial

linear transformation  $d$ . Since  $Y$  is independent of both  $R_f$  and  $R_k$ ,  $M_d$  is not row-equivalent to either  $M_f$  or  $M_k$ , hence  $d$  is in a different equivalence class from both  $f$  and  $k$ , say  $d \in [W]$ . Since  $\ker(T) \cap \ker(L) \neq 0$ , we have  $\text{null}(M_{fk}) \neq 0$ , which implies  $\text{null}(M_{fd}) \neq 0$  and  $\text{null}(M_{kd}) \neq 0$ . Therefore, we have,  $[T] - [L] - [W] - [T]$ . This forms the shortest possible cycle. Hence  $gr(G_{m,n}) = 3$ .

**Acknowledgment** The second-named author would like to thank the Graduate Office at the American University of Sharjah for the continuous support.

## References

1. Abdulla, M., Badawi, A.: On the dot product graph of a commutative ring II, 25 Int. Electron. J. Algebra 28, 61–175 (2020).
2. Akbari, S., Maimani, H. R., Yassemi, S.: When a zero-divisor graph is planar or a complete r-partite graph: J Algebra. 270, 169–180 (2003).
3. Akbari, S., Mohammadian, A.: On the zero-divisor graph of a commutative ring: J Algebra. 274, 847–855 (2004).
4. Abbasi, A., Habib, S.: The total graph of a commutative ring with respect to proper ideals: J. Korean Math. Soc. 49, 85–98 (2012)
5. Akbari, S., Heydari, F.: The regular graph of a non-commutative ring: Bulletin of the Australian Mathematical Society (2013) Doi: 10.1017/S0004972712001177
6. Akbari, S., Aryapoor, M., Jamaali, M.: Chromatic number and clique number of subgraphs of regular graph of matrix algebras: Linear Algebra Appl. 436, 2419–2424 (2012).
7. Akbari, S., Jamaali, M., Seyed Fakhari, S.A.: The clique numbers of regular graphs of matrix algebras are finite: Linear Algebra Appl. 43, 1715–1718 (2009).
8. Akbari, S., Kiani, D., Mohammadi, F., Moradi, S.: The total graph and regular graph of a commutative ring: J. Pure Appl. Algebra 213, 2224–2228 (2009).

9. Anderson, D. D., Naseer, M.: Beck's coloring of a commutative ring: *J Algebra*. 159, 500-514 (1993).
10. Anderson, D.F., Axtell, M., Stickles, J.: Zero-divisor graphs in commutative rings. In : Fontana, M., Kabbaj, S.E., Olberding, B., Swanson, I. (eds.) *Commutative Algebra Noetherian and Non-Noetherian Perspectives*, pp. 23-45. Springer-Verlag, New York (2010).
11. Anderson, D. F., Badawi, A.: "The Zero-Divisor Graph of a Commutative Semigroup: A Survey, DOI: 10.1007/978-3-319-51718-6\_2." In *Groups, Modules, and Model Theory Surveys and Recent Developments*, edited by Manfred Droste, László Fuchs, Brendan Goldsmith, Lutz Strüningmann, 23-39. Germany/NewYork: Springer, 2017.
12. Anderson, D.F., Badawi, A.: On the zero-divisor graph of a ring: *Comm. Algebra* 36, 3073-3092 (2008).
13. Anderson, D.F., Badawi, A.: The total graph of a commutative ring: *J. Algebra* 320, 2706-2719 (2008).
14. Anderson, D.F., Badawi, A.: The total graph of a commutative ring without the zero element: *J. Algebra Appl.* (2012) doi: 10.1142/S0219498812500740.
15. Anderson, D.F., Badawi, A.: The generalized total graph of a commutative ring: *J. Algebra Appl.* (2013) doi: 10.1142/S021949881250212X.
16. Anderson, D.F., Fasteen, J., LaGrange, J.D.: The subgroup graph of a group: *Arab. J. Math.* 1, 17-27 (2012).
17. Anderson, D.F., Livingston, P.S.: The zero-divisor graph of a commutative ring: *J. Algebra* 217, 434-447 (1999). 434-447.
18. Anderson, D.F., Mulay, S.B.: On the diameter and girth of a zero-divisor graph: *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* 210, 543-550 (2007).
19. Afkhami, M., Khashyarmanesh, K., Sakhdari, S. M.: The annihilator graph of a commutative semigroup: *J. Algebra Appl.* 14, (2015) [14 pages] DOI: 10.1142/S0219498815500152
20. Atani, S.E., Habibi, S.: The total torsion element graph of a module over a commutative ring: *An. Stiint. Univ. Ovidius Constanta Ser. Mat.* 19, 23-34 (2011).
21. Axtel, M, Coykendall, J. and Stickles, J. : Zero-divisor graphs of polynomials and power series over commutative rings: *Comm.Algebra* 33, 2043-2050 (2005).
22. Axtel, M., Stickles, J.: Zero-divisor graphs of idealizations: *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* 204, 235-243 (2006).
23. Badawi, A.: Recent results on annihilator graph of a commutative ring: A survey. In *Nearrings, Nearfields, and Related Topics*, edited by K. Prasad et al, (11 pages), New Jersey: World Scientific, 2017.
24. Badawi, A.: On the Total Graph of a Ring and Its Related Graphs: A Survey. In *Commutative Algebra: Recent Advances in Commutative Rings, Integer-Valued Polynomials, and Polynomial Functions*, DOI 10.1007/978-1-4939-0925-4\_3, edited by M. Fontana et al. (eds.), 39-54. New York: Springer Science, 2014.
25. Badawi, A.: *On the dot product graph of a commutative ring*: *Comm. Algebra* 43, 43-50 (2015).
26. Badawi, A.: On the annihilator graph of a commutative ring: *Comm. Algebra*, Vol.(42)(1), 108-121 (2014), DOI: 10.1080/00927872.2012.707262.
27. Barati, Z., Khashyarmanesh, K., Mohammadi, F., Nafar, K.: On the associated graphs to a commutative ring: *J. Algebra Appl.* (2012) doi: 10.1142/S021949881105610.
28. Beck, I.: Coloring of commutative rings: *J. Algebra* 116, 208-226 (1988).
29. Bollaboás, B.: *Graph Theory, An Introductory Course*. Springer-Verlag, New York (1979).

30. Chelvam, T., Asir, T.: Domination in total graph on  $\mathbb{Z}_n$ . *Discrete Math. Algorithms Appl.* 3, 413-421 (2011).
31. Chelvam, T., Asir, T.: Domination in the total graph of a commutative ring: *J. Combin. Math. Combin. Comput.* 87, 147-158 (2013).
32. Chelvam, T., Asir, T.: Intersection graph of gamma sets in the total graph. *Discuss. Math. Graph Theory* 32, 339-354 (2012).
33. Chelvam, T., Asir, T.: On the Genus of the Total Graph of a Commutative Ring: *Comm. Algebra* 41, 142-153 (2013).
34. Chelvam, T., Asir, T.: On the total graph and its complement of a commutative ring: *Comm. Algebra* (2013) doi:10.1080/00927872.2012.678956.
35. Chelvam, T., Asir, T.: The intersection graph of gamma sets in the total graph: I. *J. Algebra Appl.* (2013) doi: 10.1142/S0219498812501988.
36. Chelvam, T., Asir, T.: The intersection graph of gamma sets in the total graph II: *J. Algebra Appl.* (2013) doi: 10.1142/S021949881250199X.
37. Chiang-Hsieh, H.-J., Smith, N. O., Wang, H.-J.: Commutative rings with toroidal zerodivisor graphs: *Houston J Math.* 36, 1–31 (2010).
38. Coykendall, J., Sather-Wagstaff, S.: Sheppardson, L., Spiroff, S.: On zero divisor graphs, *Progress in Commutative Algebra 2: Closures, finiteness and factorization*, edited by (C. Francisco et al. Eds.), Walter Gruyter, Berlin, (2012), 241–299.
39. DeMeyer, F., DeMeyer, L.: Zero divisor graphs of semigroups: *J. Algebra.* 283, 190-198 (2005).
40. DeMeyer, F., McKenzie, T., Schneider, K.: The zero-divisor graph of a commutative semigroup. *Semigroup Forum.* 65, 206-214 (2002).
41. DeMeyer, F., Schneider, K.: Automorphisms and zero divisor graphs of commutative rings. In: *Commutative rings*. Hauppauge, NY: Nova Sci. Publ.; 2002. p. 25–37.
42. DeMeyer, L., D'Sa, M., Epstein, I.: Geiser, A., Smith, K., Semigroups and the zero divisor graph: *Bull. Inst. Combin. Appl.* 57, 60-70, (2009).
43. DeMeyer, L., Greve, L., Sabbaghi, A., Wang, J.: The zero-divisor graph associated to a semigroup: *Comm. Algebra.* 38, 3370-3391 (2010).
44. Khashyarmansh, K., Khorsandi, M.R.: A generalization of the unit and unitary Cayley graphs of a commutative ring: *Acta Math. Hungar.* 137, 242–253 (2012).
45. Maimani, H.R., Pouranki, M.R., Tehranian, A., Yassemi, S.: Graphs attached to rings revisited: *Arab. J. Sci. Eng.* 36, 997-1011 (2011).
46. Maimani, H. R., Pournaki, M. R., Yassemi, S., Zero-divisor graph with respect to an ideal. *Comm. Algebra.* 34, 923-929 (2006).
47. Maimani, H.R., Wickham, C., Yassemi, S.: Rings whose total graphs have genus at most one: *Rocky Mountain J. Math.* 42, 1551-1560 (2012).
48. Mojdeh1, D. A., Rahimi, A. M: Domination sets of some graphs associated to commutative ring: *Comm. Algebra* 40, 3389–3396 (2012).
49. Mulay, S. B.: Cycles and symmetries of zero-divisors: *Comm Algebra.* 30, 3533-3558 (2002).
50. Nikandish, R., Nikmehr, M. J., Bakhtyari, M.: Coloring of the annihilator graph of a commutative ring: *J. Algebra Appl.* 15(07) (2016). DOI: 10.1142/S0219498816501243
51. Pucanović, Z., Petrović, Z.: On the radius and the relation between the total graph of a commutative ring and its extensions: *Publ. Inst. Math.(Beograd)(N.S.)* 89, 1-9 (2011).
52. Redmond, S. P., An ideal-based zero-divisor graph of a commutative ring: *Comm Algebra.* 31, 4425–4443 (2003).
53. Smith, N. O: Planar zero-divisor graphs: *Comm. Algebra* 35, 171-180 (2007).

54. Sharma, P.K., Bhatwadekar, S.M.: A note on graphical representations of rings: *J. Algebra* 176, 124-127 (1995).
55. Shekarriz, M.H., Shiradareh Haghighi, M.H., Sharif, H.: On the total graph of a finite commutative ring; *Comm. Algebra* 40, 2798-2807 (2012).
56. Visweswaran, S., Patel, H. D.: A graph associated with the set of all nonzero annihilating ideals of a commutative ring: *Discrete Math. Algorithm. Appl.* 06, (2014) [22 pages] DOI: 10.1142/S1793830914500475
57. Wickham, C.: Classification of rings with genus one zero-divisor graphs: *Comm Algebra.* 36, 325-345 (2008).